

**Before the Judicial Council  
of the Fifth Circuit**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit  
**FILED**  
December 17, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

Complaint Numbers: 05-24-90108 through 05-24-90110

Petition for Review by [REDACTED]  
Regarding Complaint of Misconduct and/or Disability Against

[REDACTED]  
and [REDACTED]

Under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

---

**ORDER**

An Appellate Review Panel of the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit has reviewed the above-captioned petition for review, and all the members of the Panel have voted to affirm the order of then-Chief Judge Priscilla Richman, filed October 1, 2024, dismissing the Complaint of [REDACTED] against [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

The Order is therefore **AFFIRMED**.

Dec 17, 2024

Date

*Catharina Haynes*

Catharina Haynes

United States Circuit Judge

For the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

October 1, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

# Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit

---

Complaint Numbers: 05-24-90108 through 05-24-90110

---

## MEMORANDUM

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint alleging misconduct by United States District Judge A in Case 1, and by United States District Judge B and a United States Magistrate Judge in Case 2 and Case 3.

Complainant appears to complain that Judge B dismissed Case 2 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction based on the magistrate judge's erroneous recommendation. Complainant also complains generally that the three subject judges:

- lack “legal knowledge and legal experience . . . to understand the problem and the keys [sic] words. I just use a commonsense approach to a civil matter, and [they] should know how to understand both (legal and common language)”;
- have been “complacent” in reviewing Complainant’s civil complaints and “are showing signs of not knowing about sound advice of the teaching of the Constitution and Amendments, . . . [and] not knowing what is not right when a person [sic] rights/privileges are being violated”;
- are “not up to date” with the latest research on “Mental/Emotional Health Problems” and “Cognitive Disorder[s]” raised in her civil complaints; and,

— “are lacking in integrity and ethical leadership and they are not providing guidance and instruction” to a pro se litigant.

The complaint relates directly to the merits of decisions or procedural rulings and is therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.



---

Priscilla Richman  
Chief United States Circuit Judge

October 1, 2024