IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS
FILED

JAN 16 2019

FIFTH CIRCUIT LYLE W. CAYCE, CLERK

Docket Number: 05-18-90087

MEMORANDUM

Complainant, a *pro se* litigant, complains that the subject United States District Judge has dismissed all of complainant's cases assigned to his docket since April 2004. Complainant further protests the judge "labeled me as filing frivolous cases," and denied his motions to proceed in forma pauperis despite complainant's filing "affidavits ... with disability wage statements and all bills attached thereto."

To the extent that complainant repeats allegations raised and dismissed in prior judicial misconduct complaint proceedings, they are subject to dismissal as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii). In other respects, his allegations about rulings in a 2005 case relate directly to the merits of the judge's decisions and are therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.

Carl E. Stewart

Chief Judge

Jany 10, 2018

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FILED

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MAR 15 2019

KITH CIRCUIT LYLE W. CAYCE, CLERK

	No. 05-18-90087
	Petition for Review by
	of the Final Order Filed January 16, 2019,
	Dismissing Judicial Misconduct Complaint
A	Against
	Under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

ORDER

An Appellate Review Panel of the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit has reviewed the above-captioned petition for review, and all the members of the Panel have voted to affirm the order of Chief Judge Carl E. Stewart, filed January 16, 2019, dismissing the Complaint of against Northern District of Texas, under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

The Order is therefore

AFFIRMED.

Date

Priscilla R. Owen

United States Circuit Judge

For the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit